UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/532,167	04/20/2005	Pierre Labeye	034299-631	8230	
46188 Nixon Peabody	7590 06/30/201 LLP	0	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 60610)	ALEXANDER, LYLE			
Palo Alto, CA 94306			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1797		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/30/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applica	cation No. Applicant(s)				
		10/532	,167	LABEYE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary			ier	Art Unit			
		LYLE A	. ALEXANDER	1797			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on	the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	ddress		
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA Issions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply we eply received by the Office later than three months after an adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nication. utory period will apply and ill, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNICATION event, however, may a reply be tird will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL . 2t Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	o)∏ This action is or allowance exce	non-final. pt for formal matters, pro		e merits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims	,	,,,,,,				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restricti on Papers The specification is objected to by the	withdrawn from					
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or ion to the drawing(s	s) be held in abeyance. Security uired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	, ,		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	O-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 1797

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The 4/609 amendments have added the new limitations to claim 1 " ... means operable to send back along said optical guiding plane towards the collection means ... " which are not clear as to the function of the "means." Claim 1 lines 1-5 claim luminescence is emitted by the sample in an optical guiding plane that is connected to a collection means. The Office has read these limitations as luminescent light travels from the sample to the optical guide and to the collection means. However, the lines 6-7 now claim "means operable to send back along said optical guiding plane towards the collection means ..." which is read as the light is being "sent back" or going in the opposite direction.

For the purposes of examination, claim 1 will be interpreted as luminescence travels from the sample to the optical guiding plane and then to the collection means.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Art Unit: 1797

1. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Weisbuch et al. US 6,867,900.

- 2. See the appropriate paragraph of the 10/6/08 Office action for the teachings of Weinsbunch et al.
- 3. The 12/22/09 amendments have added new limitations to claim 1 and these same limitations are in new claim 13.
- 4. Both claims have been rejected above under 35 USC 112 second paragraph. For the purposes of examination, these limitations are best understood as requiring the light to travel from the sample through the optical guiding plane and to the detector. The Office maintains Weinsbunch et al. teach several embodiments, such as in figure 4a, where the light passes through an optical member to guide the light to the collector. The Office maintains Weinsbunch et al. meet all of the claimed limitations. Additionally, the claims require the light detection means to receive the luminescent light from the edge of the plane. These limitations are sufficiently broad to have been interpreted as receiving a portion of the light from the edge of the surface. Weisbunch et al. teach in column 9 lines 4+ detection of the photons by a CCD device, such as a MOS. Lines 22+ state an exemplary photodetector is shown in figure 7. The area between the taught peaks and troughs as well as the edges around the footprint of the photodetector have been read on the claimed "edges".

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/22/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 1797

Applicant state Weisbunch et al. do not teach collecting the light from the edge of the substrate. The claim language does not require all of the light to be collected from the edge, rather only that some light is collected by the edge. The Office maintain Weisbunch et al. teach a sensor that will collect light along its edges. Additionally, in Weisbunch et al. teach in figure 7, the sensor will have additional "edges" between the peaks and troughs that will collect light. For either or both of these reasons above, Weisbunch et al. meet the new limitations of collecting light from the edge of the sensor.

Conclusion

This is a continuation of applicant's earlier Application No. 10/532,167. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 1797

the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LYLE A. ALEXANDER whose telephone number is (571)272-1254. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday though Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Lyle A Alexander/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797